

ITH/18/NGO/2 Paris, 6 September 2018 Original: English

Reflection on the role of accredited non-governmental organizations within the 2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage

7 September to 19 October 2018

Questionnaire for States Parties and accredited NGOs

You are kindly invited to fill out the questionnaire below no later than **19 October 2018**, in order for the Secretariat to gather initial data prior to the thirteenth session of the Intergovernmental Committee for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage in November-December 2018. Please provide concrete and specific comments and suggestions and avoid general statements. Further information on the reflection process is included in Document ITH/18/NGO/1.

Section A pertains to the experience of accredited NGOs with the current accreditation system and therefore specifically targets NGOs. Sections B, C, D, E and F include questions for both States Parties and accredited NGOs.

The questionnaire is to be completed online at the following address: https://rebrand.ly/ngo-reflection

Should you have any query or issue concerning the electronic consultation or the reflection process, please kindly contact the Secretariat at <u>ich-ngo@unesco.org</u>.

Accredited NGO □	State Party □
Name of accredited NGO:	Name of State Party:
Reference number (for NGOs):	
Year of accreditation (for NGOs):	
Name and title of contact person:	Name and title of contact person:
Email address of contact person:	Email address of contact person:

Section A. Sharing experiences about the current accreditation system of NGOs (for NGOs only)

1.	What are the main reasons that motivated your NGO to seek accreditation under the Convention?					
2.	2. Since the accreditation of your organization, has the accreditation system work?					
	Yes □	Rather yes \square	Rather no □	No □		
3.	Did it support your work in the way you expected?					
	Yes □ No □					
4.	If you consider that the accreditation system supported your work, please explain in which ways this was achieved.					
5. Is your organization actively involved in the ICH NGO Forum?						
	Yes □	Rather yes \square	Rather no \square	No □		
6.	Please specify how your organization has been specifically involved in the ICH NGO Forum. If your organization has not been involved in the ICH NGO Forum, please kindly describe the main reasons why your organization did not or could not be involved.					
7.		main challenges en f living heritage?	countered by your N	GO in its activities for the		
Section B. Identifying potential advisory functions of NGOs at the international level (for States Parties and NGOs)						
8.		ne implementation of t		what role(s) could accredited nternational level? Please be		

9. How can the accreditation system of NGOs support the work carried out by NGOs in the implementation of the Convention at the national level? Please be as specific as possible.

Section C. Identifying potential advisory functions of NGOs at the national level (for States Parties and NGOs)

Section D. Redefining the accreditation system of NGOs (for States Parties and NGOs)

10.	. Is the current accreditation system of NGOs adequate?					
	Yes □	Rather yes \square	Rather no \square	No □		
11.	If you wish to propose modifications to the current accreditation system, please provide specific suggestions.					
12.		nt accreditation criter evant and sufficient?	ia (as defined in pa	ragraph 91 of the Operational		
	Yes □	Rather yes \square	Rather no \square	No □		
13.	•	propose modifications provide specific sug		or propose the addition of new		
14.	When reviewing the contribution and the commitment of accredited NGOs and thei relations with the Committee (ref. paragraph 94 of the Operational Directives), wha should be taken into consideration in the assessment of NGOs?					
15.	Which specific of accredited N		taken to ensure a bal	anced geographical distribution		
16.	Should the accapacities of N		take into considerat	ion the disparity in size and		
	Yes □ No □					
17.	If you think the accreditation system should take into consideration the disparity in size and capacities of NGOs, in which ways could this be achieved?					
18.	Should the Co	nvention foresee diffe	erent types of accredi	tation for NGOs?		
	Yes □ No □					
19.		on had different type of accreditation?	s of accreditation, wh	ich criteria should define those		
20.	If the Conventitype of accredit		s of accreditation, wh	ich entity should manage each		

Section E. Defining the role of the ICH NGO Forum (for States Parties and NGOs)

21. What do you expect to be the functions of the ICH NGO Forum? Do you see possible or desirable developments for the ICH NGO Forum?

Section F. Other issues (for States Parties and NGOs)

22. What other suggestions would you like to make?