The Assistant Director-General for Culture

Ref.: CLT/CEH/ITH/12/273

Subject: Open ended intergovernmental working group on the right scale or scope of an element (2003 Convention) (UNESCO Headquarters, Paris, 22 and 23 October 2012, Room XI)

Dear Ambassador,

I have the pleasure to invite you to the meeting of the open ended intergovernmental working group convened by the Committee for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage. This meeting will take place on 22 and 23 October 2012 at UNESCO Headquarters, Paris (Room XI, Fontenoy building), from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. and from 3 to 6 p.m.

In recent years the Committee has been dealing with a set of recurrent questions as regards similarities amongst certain nomination files or the inclusivity of others. This is why the Committee at its sixth session in Bali in November 2011 decided to convene, before its seventh session, an open ended intergovernmental working group to discuss what the right scale or scope of an element might be (Decision 6.COM 15). The Government of Japan has generously offered to bear the costs related to this two-day meeting.

Please find herewith the provisional agenda for the meeting in the Committee’s two working languages, English and French. Simultaneous interpretation will be provided in both languages. Working documents will be available online at www.unesco.org/culture/ich/en/7COM-WG at the end of September 2012.

I would be grateful if you could confirm your participation at this open ended intergovernmental working group by registering online on the Convention’s...
webpage at the above mentioned address as soon as possible (in case of technical difficulties, please send an e-mail to ichmeetings@unesco.org). Kindly note that travel and subsistence expenses incurred by observers to this meeting will be borne by the Government they represent.

Yours sincerely,
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➢ Provisional agenda
➢ Decision 6.COM 15

cc: National Commissions of States not party to the 2003 Convention
CONVENTION FOR THE SAFEGUARDING OF THE INTANGIBLE CULTURAL HERITAGE

INTERGOVERNMENTAL COMMITTEE FOR THE SAFEGUARDING OF THE INTANGIBLE CULTURAL HERITAGE

Open ended intergovernmental working group on the right scale or scope of an element

UNESCO Headquarters
22 and 23 October 2012
Room XI, 10 a.m. – 6 p.m.

AGENDA
Agenda items

1. Opening
2. Election of a Chairperson and a Vice-Chairperson
3. Thematic discussions
   I. Concepts of the ‘element’ in the drafting of the 2003 Convention and its Operational Directives
   II. Taking stock of the elements inscribed on the Lists: actual trends, categories and examples
   III. Possible ways to deal with ‘similar elements’: the extension of an inscribed element and the nomination of ‘serial elements’
   IV. ‘Right’ for what context? Elements of intangible cultural heritage in inventorying, listing, safeguarding and raising awareness
4. General debate
5. Closure

Documents available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITH/12/7.COM WG/1</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITH/12/7.COM WG/2</td>
<td>Background paper: Reflecting on the right scale or scope of an element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITH/12/7.COM WG/3</td>
<td>Discussion paper: Concepts of the ‘element’ in the drafting of the 2003 Convention and its Operational Directives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITH/12/7.COM WG/4</td>
<td>Discussion paper: Taking stock of the elements inscribed on the Lists: actual trends, categories and examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITH/12/7.COM WG/5</td>
<td>Discussion paper: Possible ways to deal with ‘similar elements’: the extension of an inscribed element and the nomination of ‘serial elements’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITH/12/7.COM WG/6</td>
<td>Discussion paper: ‘Right’ for what context? Elements of intangible cultural heritage in inventorying, listing, safeguarding and raising awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITH/12/7.COM WG/7</td>
<td>List of participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DECISION 6.COM 15

The Committee,

1. Having examined Document ITH/11/6.COM/CONF.206/15,
2. Recalling Decisions 5.COM 7 and 5.COM 10.1,
3. Thanks Japan for its voluntary supplementary contribution to the Intangible Cultural Heritage Fund that made possible the meeting of the open ended intergovernmental working group on possible measures to improve the treatment of nominations to the Representative List by the Committee, the Subsidiary Body and the Secretariat;
4. Thanks the States Parties that responded to its invitation to send their points of view on the terms of reference of the Subsidiary Body and on possible revisions of the criteria for inscription on the Lists;
5. Notes that there was no consensus within the Committee on the report of the open ended intergovernmental working group;
6. Considers that any revision in the Operational Directives has significant implications and should be based upon consensus, to the greatest extent possible;
7. Recommends to the General Assembly to revise the Operational Directives for the implementation of the Convention, in order that:
   a. the examination of nominations to the Representative List be carried out by the Consultative Body foreseen in paragraph 26 of the Operational Directives, so that it examines all files submitted during a cycle (nominations to the Urgent Safeguarding List, nominations to the Representative List, proposals to the Register of Best Safeguarding Practices and requests for international assistance greater than US$25,000;
   b. the mandate of the members of the Consultative Body be extended to a maximum of four years, and its composition be renewed by one quarter each year;
   c. a maximum ceiling of files to be treated annually is determined at the previous session;
   d. the Committee considers on a priority basis multinational files, those files from States having no element inscribed on the Urgent Safeguarding List or the Representative List, proposals to the Register of Best Safeguarding Practices and requests for international assistance greater than US$25,000, then files submitted by countries having the fewest elements inscribed, proposals selected and international assistance requests approved in comparison to other submitting States during the same cycle, trying whenever possible to examine at least one nomination per submitting State, so as to be as inclusive as possible;
   e. submitting States Parties give priority to the Urgent Safeguarding List when indicating the order of priority in which they wish their files to be examined, in case they submit more than one file in the same cycle;
8. Decides that for the 2012 cycle it can evaluate a maximum of 62 files out of the 214 received (nominations to the Urgent Safeguarding List, nominations to the Representative List, proposals to the Register of Best Safeguarding Practices and requests for international assistance greater than US$25,000), giving priority to multinational nominations, to nominations submitted by States having no elements inscribed, Best Practices selected or international assistance approved, then those having the fewest, ensuring to the extent possible to examine at least one file per submitting State, so as to be as inclusive as possible;
9. Requests the submitting States to indicate to the Secretariat before 15 December 2011 the order of priority in which they wish their files to be examined, in case they submitted more than one file to any of the Convention's mechanisms for the 2012 cycle;
10. **Decides** to convene an open ended intergovernmental working group, to be held at UNESCO Headquarters before the seventh session of the Committee, to discuss what the right scale or scope of an element should be; this meeting will be organized on condition that voluntary supplementary contributions to the Intangible Cultural Heritage Fund are received in due course in order to cover all of the costs of organizing the meeting and the costs of the participation of representatives of developing countries that are parties to the Convention, whether or not members of the Committee, but only for persons who are experts in intangible cultural heritage.