

United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization

Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'éducation, la science et la culture

Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Educación, la Ciencia y la Cultura

Организация Объединенных Наций по вопросам образования, науки и культуры

منظمة الأمم المتحدة للتربية والعلم والثقافة

联合国教育、 · 科学及文化组织 .

The Assistant Director-General for Culture

Permanent Delegations of the States Parties to the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage

2 AUG 2012

Ref.:

CLT/CEH/ITH/12/271

Subject: Open ended intergovernmental working group on the right scale or

scope of an element (2003 Convention)

(UNESCO Headquarters, Paris, 22 and 23 October 2012, Room XI)

Dear Ambassador,

I have the pleasure to invite you to a meeting of an open ended intergovernmental working group convened by the Committee for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage. This meeting will take place on **22 and 23 October 2012** at UNESCO Headquarters, Paris (Room XI, Fontenoy building), from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. and from 3 to 6 p.m.

In recent years the Committee has been dealing with a set of recurrent questions as regards similarities amongst certain nomination files or the inclusivity of others. This is why the Committee at its sixth session in Bali in November 2011 decided to convene, before its seventh session, an open ended intergovernmental working group to discuss what the right scale or scope of an element might be (Decision 6.COM 15).

Please find herewith the provisional agenda for the meeting in the Committee's two working languages, English and French. Simultaneous interpretation will be provided in both languages. Working documents will be available online at www.unesco.org/culture/ich/en/7COM-WG at the end of September 2012.

The Government of Japan has generously offered to bear the costs related to this two-day meeting. This voluntary contribution to the Intangible Cultural Heritage Fund will cover the costs of the participation of representatives of developing countries that are parties to the Convention, whether or not members of the Committee. Nevertheless, only experts in intangible cultural heritage will be considered. I therefore invite eligible States to send their request to the Secretariat of the Convention (ichmeetings@unesco.org) as soon as possible, and in any event before 15 September 2012, together with the curriculum vitae of the proposed expert and his or her travel itinerary.

I would be grateful if you could confirm your participation, as well as the participation of the expert proposed for financial assistance, at this open ended intergovernmental working group by registering online on the Convention's webpage at the above mentioned address as soon as possible (in case of technical difficulties, please send an e-mail to ichmeetings@unesco.org).

Allow me to take this opportunity to inform you that on 24 October 2012 will be held two other meetings organized by the Intangible Cultural Heritage Section at the UNESCO headquarters in Paris. The Bureau of the Committee will meet in the morning (Room XVI, Bonvin building, from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m). An information meeting for the members of the Committee will be held in the afternoon (Room XI, Fontenoy building, from 3 p.m. to 6 p.m.) concerning the 7th session of the Intergovernmental Committee for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage. Both meetings are open to observers.

Yours sincerely,

Francesco Bandarin

Encl: 2

Provisional agendaDecision 6.COM 15

cc: National Commissions of State Parties to the 2003 Convention



7 COM WG

ITH/12/7.COM WG/1 Paris, 3 August 2012 Original: English

CONVENTION FOR THE SAFEGUARDING OF THE INTANGIBLE CULTURAL HERITAGE

INTERGOVERNMENTAL COMMITTEE FOR THE SAFEGUARDING OF THE INTANGIBLE CULTURAL HERITAGE

Open ended intergovernmental working group on the right scale or scope of an element

UNESCO Headquarters 22 and 23 October 2012 Room XI, 10 a.m. – 6 p.m.

AGENDA

Agenda items

- 1. Opening
- 2. Election of a Chairperson and a Vice-Chairperson
- 3. Thematic discussions
 - I. Concepts of the 'element' in the drafting of the 2003 Convention and its Operational Directives
 - II. Taking stock of the elements inscribed on the Lists: actual trends, categories and examples
 - III. Possible ways to deal with 'similar elements': the extension of an inscribed element and the nomination of 'serial elements'
 - IV. 'Right' for what context? Elements of intangible cultural heritage in inventorying, listing, safeguarding and raising awareness
- 4. General debate
- 5. Closure

Documents available

ITH/12/7.COM WG/1	Agenda
ITH/12/7.COM WG/2	Background paper: Reflecting on the right scale or scope of an element
ITH/12/7.COM WG/3	Discussion paper: Concepts of the 'element' in the drafting of the 2003 Convention and its Operational Directives
ITH/12/7.COM WG/4	Discussion paper: Taking stock of the elements inscribed on the Lists: actual trends, categories and examples
ITH/12/7.COM WG/5	Discussion paper: Possible ways to deal with 'similar elements': the extension of an inscribed element and the nomination of 'serial elements'
ITH/12/7.COM WG/6	Discussion paper: 'Right' for what context? Elements of intangible cultural heritage in inventorying, listing, safeguarding and raising awareness
ITH/12/7.COM WG/7	List of participants

DECISION 6.COM 15

The Committee.

- 1. <u>Having examined</u> Document ITH/11/6.COM/CONF.206/15,
- 2. Recalling Decisions 5.COM 7 and 5.COM 10.1,
- 3. <u>Thanks</u> Japan for its voluntary supplementary contribution to the Intangible Cultural Heritage Fund that made possible the meeting of the open ended intergovernmental working group on possible measures to improve the treatment of nominations to the Representative List by the Committee, the Subsidiary Body and the Secretariat;
- 4. <u>Thanks</u> the States Parties that responded to its invitation to send their points of view on the terms of reference of the Subsidiary Body and on possible revisions of the criteria for inscription on the Lists;
- 5. <u>Notes</u> that there was no consensus within the Committee on the report of the open ended intergovernmental working group;
- 6. <u>Considers</u> that any revision in the Operational Directives has significant implications and should be based upon consensus, to the greatest extent possible;
- 7. <u>Recommends</u> to the General Assembly to revise the Operational Directives for the implementation of the Convention, in order that:
 - a. the examination of nominations to the Representative List be carried out by the Consultative Body foreseen in paragraph 26 of the Operational Directives, so that it examines all files submitted during a cycle (nominations to the Urgent Safeguarding List, nominations to the Representative List, proposals to the Register of Best Safeguarding Practices and requests for international assistance greater than US\$25,000;
 - b. the mandate of the members of the Consultative Body be extended to a maximum of four years, and its composition be renewed by one quarter each year;
 - c. a maximum ceiling of files to be treated annually is determined at the previous session;
 - d. the Committee considers on a priority basis multinational files, those files from States having no element inscribed on the Urgent Safeguarding List or the Representative List and no proposal selected or no international assistance request approved, then files submitted by countries having the fewest elements inscribed, proposals selected and international assistance requests approved in comparison to other submitting States during the same cycle, trying whenever possible to examine at least one nomination per submitting State, so as to be as inclusive as possible;
 - e. submitting States Parties give priority to the Urgent Safeguarding List when indicating the order of priority in which they wish their files to be examined, in case they submit more than one file in the same cycle;
- 8. <u>Decides</u> that for the 2012 cycle it can evaluate a maximum of 62 files out of the 214 received (nominations to the Urgent Safeguarding List, nominations to the Representative List, proposals to the Register of Best Safeguarding Practices and requests for international assistance greater than US\$25,000), giving priority to multinational nominations, to nominations submitted by States having no elements inscribed, Best Practices selected or international assistance approved, then those having the fewest, ensuring to the extent possible to examine at least one file per submitting State, so as to be as inclusive as possible;
- 9. Requests the submitting States to indicate to the Secretariat before 15 December 2011 the order of priority in which they wish their files to be examined, in case they submitted more than one file to any of the Convention's mechanisms for the 2012 cycle;

10. <u>Decides</u> to convene an open ended intergovernmental working group, to be held at UNESCO Headquarters before the seventh session of the Committee, to discuss what the right scale or scope of an element should be; this meeting will be organized on condition that voluntary supplementary contributions to the Intangible Cultural Heritage Fund are received in due course in order to cover all of the costs of organizing the meeting and the costs of the participation of representatives of developing countries that are parties to the Convention, whether or not members of the Committee, but only for persons who are experts in intangible cultural heritage.